

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20460

OFFICE OF CHEMICAL SAFETY AND POLLUTION PREVENTION

# **MEMORANDUM**

**DATE**: September 23, 2022

**SUBJECT**: Efficacy Review for Honey Cake,

EPA Reg. No. 777-RUG Action Code Case: 00306445 E-submission No. 64649

FROM: Luisa C. Samalot-Freire, Microbiologist

Efficacy Branch

Antimicrobials Division (7510M)

Date Signed: September 23, 2022

THRU: Tajah Blackburn, Ph.D., Senior Scientist

Efficacy Branch

Antimicrobials Division (7510M)

Date Signed: September 23, 2022

**TO**: Stacey Grigsby (RM), PM 34

Regulatory Management Branch II Antimicrobials Division (7510M)

**APPLICANT**: Reckitt Benckiser, LLC

### Formulation from the Label:

Active Ingredient(s)	<u>% by wt.</u>
Dipropylene glycol	14.00%
Other Ingredients	
Total	100.00%

### I. BACKGROUND

Product Description (as packaged, as applied): Ready-to-Use Spray

Submission type: New Registration

Currently registered efficacy claim(s): Not Applicable, products is a new registration

**Requested action(s):** New Registration for an air sanitizer and air treatment spray against bacteria and viruses, respectively.

#### Documents considered in this review:

- Cover letter from applicant to EPA dated 6/17/2022
- Proposed label dated 6/11/2021 (Version 9)
- Data Matrix (EPA Form 8570-35) dated 6/17/2022
- Eight efficacy studies (MRIDs 51890603, 51890604, 51890605, 51915103, 51915104, 51915105, 51932801, 51932802)
- Confidential Statement of Formula (EPA Form 8570-4) dated 6/11/2021 and updated on 4/15/2022
- Transmittal Document (MRID 51923800), dated 6/17/2022
- Protocol Review for 777PA9: Honey Cake Air Sanitization Efficacy Protocol Review, dated 03/08/2022 (E-submission 63770, Action Code Case: 00302989)

Note: Multiple documents have been submitted for this new product registration. The documents listed and dated above correspond to the latest documents used for the generation of this efficacy review.

# II. PROPOSED DIRECTIONS FOR USE

"To kill Bacteria\* and Viruses P† in the Air (and Eliminate Odors): Shake well before each use. Close or cover all doors, windows, air vents and returns. Only the user should be present during use. Hold can upright and continuously spray for 30 seconds towards the center of room in a sweeping motion (back and forth) (left and right). Room size defined as (10ft x 10ft x 8ft)(800 sq ft.). To kill bacteria\* after spraying (exit)(leave) room for 4 minutes. To kill viruses P† after spraying (exit)(leave) room for 12 minutes. After use, resume normal room ventilation including uncovering returns and vents. Rinse food contact surfaces with potable water after use."

#### III. STUDY SUMMARIES

1.	MRID	51890603		
<b>Study Object</b>	ive	Indoor Air Sanitization	on of Spray Formulation – Ba	actericidal /
		Using an Aerobiolog	y Chamber	
Testing Lab;	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. / R	B220115-SA-01	
<b>Experimenta</b>	Start Date	1/15/2022	Study Completion Date:	04/01/2022
Test organis	n(s)	Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)		
⊠ 1 □ 2 □ 3	<b>□ 4</b> +			
<b>Test Method</b>		Air Sanitization using an Aerobiology Chamber		
Application N	<b>lethod</b>	Test substance (pressurized aerosol can) sprayed (released)		
		for 30 seconds into chamber in a sweeping motion towards the		
		chamber's ceiling after test microbe nebulization for 10 minutes.		

Test	Name/ID	Lysol Neutra Air: Air Sanitizing Spray (FMLA# e0032-169)
Substance	Lots	e0199-069
Preparation	⊠ 1 □ 2 □ 3	
	Preparation	Tested concentration: LCL
		Tested Dilution: Not applicable – product is a Ready-to-Use
		Spray
		Diluent: Not Applicable
Soil load		5% three-part soil (a mixture of
		bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract)
Carrier type,	# per lot	Aerobiology Chamber – 900 ft³ or 25 M³
Test condition	ns	Contact time: 3.46 minutes
		Temperature: 20-25°C
		Relative humidity: 50±5%
Neutralizer		TSAM (TSA + 0.07% Lecithin + 0.5% Tween 80 + 0.02%
		Sodium Thiosulfate)
Incubation conditions		Sampling plates were first observed at 18±2 hours of
		incubation, final results were collected after 3 days of additional
		incubation. All plates were incubated at 36±1°C.
Reviewer con		Study was conducted as per protocol 777- PA9, protocol review
	deviations and	dated 3/8/2022.
amendments,	•	Efficacy test dates = 1/28/22, 1/31/22 and 2/2/22. A unique
control failure	s, etc.)	aerosol can was assigned to each test date. Three untreaded control tests were performed on the test microbe to determine
		its rate of biological decay in the chamber prior to efficacy
		testing.
		Protocol amendments and Deviations are presented on
		Appendix D pages 44-59.

2.	MRID	51890604		
Study Object	ive	Indoor Air Sanitization of Spray Formulation – Bactericidal /		actericidal /
		Using an Aerobiology Cl	hamber	
Testing Lab;	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. / RB22	20115-SA-02	
Experimenta	Start Date	1/15/2022 <b>Stu</b>	dy Completion Date:	04/01/2022
Test organis	m(s)	Staphylococcus aureus	(ATCC 6538)	
⊠ 1 □ 2 □ 3	□ 4+			
<b>Test Method</b>		Air Sanitization using an	Aerobiology Chamber	
Application N	/lethod	Test substance (pressur	ized aerosol can) spraye	ed (released)
		for 30 seconds into chamber in a sweeping motion toward		on towards the
	chamber's ceiling after test microbe nebulization for 10 m			
Test	Name/ID	Lysol Neutra Air: Air Sanitizing Spray (FMLA# e0032-169)		
Substance Lots e0032-170				
Preparation	⊠ 1 □ 2 □ 3			
Preparation Tested concentration: LCL		CL		
	Tested Dilution: Not applicable – product is a Ready-to-Use		eady-to-Use	
		Spray		
		Diluent: Not Applicable		
Soil load		5% three-part soil (a mixture of		
bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract		extract)		

Carrier type, # per lot	Aerobiology Chamber – 900 ft <sup>3</sup> or 25 M <sup>3</sup>
Test conditions	Contact time: 3.30 minutes
	Temperature: 20-25°C
	Relative humidity: 50±5%
Neutralizer	TSAM (TSA + 0.07% Lecithin + 0.5% Tween 80 + 0.02%
	Sodium Thiosulfate)
Incubation conditions	Sampling plates were first observed at 18±2 hours of
	incubation, final results were collected after 3 days of additional
	incubation. All plates were incubated at 36±1°C.
Reviewer comments	Study was conducted as per protocol 777- PA9, protocol review
(i.e., protocol deviations and	dated 3/8/2022.
amendments, retesting,	Efficacy test dates = 2/3/22, 2/4/22 and 2/7/22. A unique
control failures, etc.)	aerosol can was assigned to each test date. Three untreaded
	control tests were performed on the test microbe to determine
	its rate of biological decay in the chamber prior to efficacy
	testing.
	Protocol amendments and Deviations are presented on
	Appendix D pages 44-52.

3.	MRID	51890605	
<b>Study Object</b>	tive	Indoor Air Sanitization of Spray Formulation – Bactericidal /	
		Using an Aerobiology Chamber	
Testing Lab;	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. / RB220115-SA-03	
<b>Experimenta</b>	I Start Date	1/15/2022 <b>Study Completion Date</b> : 03/28/2022	
Test organis	m(s)	Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)	
☑ 1 □ 2 □ 3	<b>□ 4+</b>		
<b>Test Method</b>		Air Sanitization using an Aerobiology Chamber	
Application N	Method	Test substance (pressurized aerosol can) sprayed (released)	
		for 30 seconds into chamber in a sweeping motion towards the	
		chamber's ceiling after test microbe nebulization for 10 minutes.	
Test	Name/ID	Lysol Neutra Air: Air Sanitizing Spray (FMLA# e0032-169)	
Substance	Lots	e0199-070	
Preparation	⊠ 1 □ 2 □ 3		
	Preparation	Tested concentration: LCL	
		Tested Dilution: Not applicable – product is a Ready-to-Use	
		Spray	
		Diluent: Not Applicable	
Soil load		5% three-part soil (a mixture of	
		bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract)	
Carrier type,		Aerobiology Chamber – 900 ft³ or 25 M³	
Test condition	ns	Contact time: 2.86 minutes	
		Temperature: 20-25°C	
	Relative humidity: 50±5%		
Neutralizer		TSAM (TSA + 0.07% Lecithin + 0.5% Tween 80 + 0.02%	
		Sodium Thiosulfate)	
Incubation conditions		Sampling plates were first observed at 18±2 hours of	
		incubation, final results were collected after 3 days of additional	
		incubation. All plates were incubated at 36±1°C.	

Reviewer comments (i.e., protocol deviations and amendments, retesting, control failures, etc.)	Study was conducted as per protocol 777- PA9, protocol review dated 3/8/2022.  Efficacy test dates = 2/8/22, 2/9/22 and 2/10/22. A unique aerosol can was assigned to each test date. Three untreaded control tests were performed on the test microbe to determine its rate of biological decay in the chamber prior to efficacy testing.
	Protocol amendments and Deviations are presented on Appendix D pages 44-52.

4.	MRID	51915103	
Study Objective		Indoor Air Sanitization of Spray Formulation – Bactericidal /	
		Using an Aerobiology Chamber	
Testing Lab;	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. / RB220115-KN-01	
Experimenta		1/15/2022 <b>Study Completion Date</b> : 04/03/2022	
Test organis	m(s)	Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 4352)	
⊠ 1 □ 2 □ 3	<b>□ 4+</b>		
<b>Test Method</b>		Air Sanitization using an Aerobiology Chamber	
Application	Method	Test substance (pressurized aerosol can) sprayed (released)	
		for 30 seconds into chamber in a sweeping motion towards the	
		chamber's ceiling after test microbe nebulization for 10 minutes.	
Test	Name/ID	Lysol Neutra Air: Air Sanitizing Spray (FMLA# e0032-169)	
Substance	Lots	e0199-069	
Preparation	⊠ 1 □ 2 □ 3		
	Preparation	Tested concentration: LCL	
	•	Tested Dilution: Not applicable – product is a Ready-to-Use	
		Spray	
		Diluent: Not Applicable	
Soil load		5% three-part soil (a mixture of	
		bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract)	
Carrier type,		Aerobiology Chamber – 900 ft <sup>3</sup> or 25 M <sup>3</sup>	
Test conditions Contact time: 1.17 minutes		Contact time: 1.17 minutes	
		Temperature: 20-25°C	
		Relative humidity: 50±5%	
Neutralizer		TSAM (TSA + 0.07% Lecithin + 0.5% Tween 80 + 0.02%	
Sodium Thiosulfate)			
Incubation c	onditions	Sampling plates were first observed at 18±2 hours of	
		incubation, final results were collected after 3 days of additional	
		incubation. All plates were incubated at 36±1°C.	
Reviewer comments Study was conducted as per protocol 777- PA9, protocol		l • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(i.e., protocol deviations and		dated 3/8/2022.	
amendments, retesting,		Efficacy test dates = 3/3/22, 3/7/22 and 3/9/22. A unique	
control failure	es, etc.)	aerosol can was assigned to each test date. Three untreaded	
		control tests were performed on the test microbe to determine	
		its rate of biological decay in the chamber prior to efficacy	
		testing.	

Protocol amendments and Deviations are presented on
Appendix D pages 45-62.

5.	MRID	51915104	
Study Object	ive	Indoor Air Sanitization of Spray Formulation – Bactericidal /	
		Using an Aerobiology Chamber	
	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. / RB220115-KN-02	
Experimenta		1/16/2022 <b>Study Completion Date:</b> 04/03/2022	
Test organis	m(s)	Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 4352)	
☑ 1 □ 2 □ 3	<b>□ 4+</b>		
<b>Test Method</b>		Air Sanitization using an Aerobiology Chamber	
Application N	/lethod	Test substance (pressurized aerosol can) sprayed (released)	
		for 30 seconds into chamber in a sweeping motion towards the	
		chamber's ceiling after test microbe nebulization for 10 minutes.	
Test	Name/ID	Lysol Neutra Air: Air Sanitizing Spray (FMLA# e0032-169)	
Substance	Lots	e0032-170	
Preparation	⊠1□2□3		
	Preparation	Tested concentration: LCL	
		Tested Dilution: Not applicable – product is a Ready-to-Use	
		Spray	
		Diluent: Not Applicable	
Soil load		5% three-part soil (a mixture of	
		bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract)	
Carrier type,		Aerobiology Chamber – 900 ft <sup>3</sup> or 25 M <sup>3</sup>	
Test condition	ns	Contact time: 1.12 minutes	
		Temperature: 20-25°C	
		Relative humidity: 50±5%	
Neutralizer		TSAM (TSA + 0.07% Lecithin + 0.5% Tween 80 + 0.02%	
		Sodium Thiosulfate)	
Incubation co	onditions	Sampling plates were first observed at 18±2 hours of	
		incubation, final results were collected after 3 days of additional	
Daviewer :::	incubation. All plates were incubated at 36±1°C.		
Reviewer con		Study was conducted as per protocol 777- PA9, protocol review dated 3/8/2022.	
(i.e., protocol deviations and amendments, retesting,		Efficacy test dates = 3/10/22, 3/12/22 and 3/14/22. A unique	
control failures, etc.)		aerosol can was assigned to each test date. Three untreaded	
Control failure	s, e.c. <i>)</i>	control tests were performed on the test microbe to determine	
		its rate of biological decay in the chamber prior to efficacy	
		testing.	
		<del>-</del>	
		Protocol amendments and Deviations are presented on	
		Appendix D pages 45-58.	

6.	MRID	51915105		
<b>Study Object</b>	ive	Indoor Air Sanitization of Spray Formulation – Bactericidal /		
		Using an Aerobiology Chamber		
Testing Lab;	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. / RB220115-KN-03		
<b>Experimenta</b>	Start Date	1/16/2022	Study Completion Date:	04/30/2022

Test organis	m(s)	Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 4352)
	• •	(1.00-100-)
Test Method		Air Sanitization using an Aerobiology Chamber
Application N	Method	Test substance (pressurized aerosol can) sprayed (released)
Application	notinou	for 30 seconds into chamber in a sweeping motion towards the
		chamber's ceiling after test microbe nebulization for 10 minutes.
Test	Name/ID	Lysol Neutra Air: Air Sanitizing Spray (FMLA# e0032-169)
Substance	Lots	e0199-070
Preparation	⊠1□2□3	
	Preparation	Tested concentration: LCL
	-	Tested Dilution: Not applicable – product is a Ready-to-Use
		Spray
		Diluent: Not Applicable
Soil load		5% three-part soil (a mixture of
		bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract)
Carrier type,	# per lot	Aerobiology Chamber – 900 ft <sup>3</sup> or 25 M <sup>3</sup>
Test condition	ns	Contact time: 1.16 minutes
		Temperature: 20-25°C
		Relative humidity: 50±5%
Neutralizer		TSAM (TSA + 0.07% Lecithin + 0.5% Tween 80 + 0.02%
		Sodium Thiosulfate)
Incubation conditions		Sampling plates were first observed at 18±2 hours of
		incubation, final results were collected after 3 days of additional
		incubation. All plates were incubated at 36±1°C.
Reviewer cor		Study was conducted as per protocol 777- PA9, protocol review
	deviations and	dated 3/8/2022.
amendments, retesting,		Efficacy test dates = 3/16/22, 3/17/22 and 3/19/22. A unique
control failures, etc.)		aerosol can was assigned to each test date. Three untreaded
		control tests were performed on the test microbe to determine
		its rate of biological decay in the chamber prior to efficacy
		testing.
		Dueto call areas due auto and Davidtiana and managets describ
		Protocol amendments and Deviations are presented on
		Appendix D pages 45-58.

7.	MRID	51032801	51932801					
	1	Indoor Air Sanitization of Spray Formulation –Virucidal / Using						
Study Object	ive			ucidai / Using				
		an Aerobiology Cha	mber					
Testing Lab;	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. / F	RB220115-MS2-01					
<b>Experimenta</b>	Start Date	1/26/2022	Study Completion Date:	5/27/2022				
Test organis	m(s)	Coliphage MS-2 (A7	TCC 15597-B1) with host <i>Esc</i>	cherichia coli				
☑ 1 □ 2 □ 3	<b>□ 4+</b>	(ATCC 15597)						
<b>Indicator Cel</b>	l Culture	Host cell = Escherichia coli (ATCC 15597)						
<b>Test Method</b>		Air Sanitization using an Aerobiology Chamber						
Application N	/lethod	Test substance (pressurized aerosol can) sprayed (released)						
		for 30 seconds into chamber in a sweeping motion towards the						
		chamber's ceiling after test microbe nebulization for 10 minutes.						
	Name/ID	Lysol Neutra Air: Air Sanitizing Spray (FMLA# e0032-169)						

Toot	Lata	-0400 000					
Test	Lots	e0199-069					
Substance	□ 1 □ 2 □ 3						
Preparation	Preparation	Tested concentration: LCL					
		Tested Dilution: Not applicable – product is a Ready-to-Use					
		Spray					
		Diluent: Not Applicable					
Soil load		5% three-part soil (a mixture of					
		bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract)					
Carrier type,	# per lot	Aerobiology Chamber – 900 ft <sup>3</sup> or 25 M <sup>3</sup>					
Test condition	ns	Contact time: 11.3 minutes					
		Temperature: 20-25°C					
		Relative humidity: 50±10%					
Neutralizer		LMB Agar (LB agar + 0.07% Lecithin + 0.5% Tween 80)					
Incubation co	onditions	Plates incubated at 36±1°C – observed after 18±2 hours and					
		continued incubation for an additional 3 days prior to					
		determining final counts.					
Reviewer cor	mments	Study was conducted as per protocol 777- PA9, protocol review					
(i.e., protocol	deviations and	dated 3/8/2022.					
amendments,	retesting,						
control failure	s, etc.)	Efficacy test dates = 5/2/22, 5/3/22 and 5/4/22.					
		Three untreaded control tests were performed on the test					
		microbe to determine its rate of biological decay in the chamber					
		prior to efficacy testing.					
		Note from reviewer: for bactericidal tests – under Section:					
		Efficacy Test with Test Substance, there is an indication of the					
		number of cans used per test dates. This information was not					
		provided for the virucidal studies.					
		Protocol amendments and Deviations are presented on					
		Appendix D pages 44-58.					

	1						
8.	MRID	51932801					
<b>Study Object</b>	ive	Indoor Air Sanitization of Spray Formulation –Virucidal / Using					
		an Aerobiology Chamber					
Testing Lab;	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. / RB220115-MS2-01					
<b>Experimenta</b>	I Start Date	1/26/2022 <b>Study Completion Date</b> : 5/27/2022					
Test organis	m(s)	Coliphage MS-2 (ATCC 15597-B1) with host Escherichia coli					
☑ 1 □ 2 □ 3	<b>□ 4+</b>	(ATCC 15597)					
<b>Indicator Cel</b>	l Culture	Host cell = Escherichia coli (ATCC 15597)					
<b>Test Method</b>		Air Sanitization using an Aerobiology Chamber					
Application N	/lethod	Test substance (pressurized aerosol can) sprayed (released)					
		for 30 seconds into chamber in a sweeping motion towards the					
		chamber's ceiling after test microbe nebulization for 10 minutes.					
Test	Name/ID	Lysol Neutra Air: Air Sanitizing Spray (FMLA# e0032-169)					
Substance Lots e0032-170							
Preparation	⊠1□2□3						

Droporation	Tested concentration: LCL				
Preparation					
	Tested Dilution: Not applicable – product is a Ready-to-Use				
	Spray				
	Diluent: Not Applicable				
Soil load	5% three-part soil (a mixture of				
	bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract)				
Carrier type, # per lot	Aerobiology Chamber – 900 ft <sup>3</sup> or 25 M <sup>3</sup>				
Test conditions	Contact time: 9.8 minutes				
	Temperature: 20-25°C				
	Relative humidity: 50±10%				
Neutralizer	LMB Agar (LB agar + 0.07% Lecithin + 0.5% Tween 80)				
Incubation conditions	Plates incubated at 36±1°C – observed after 18±2 hours and				
	continued incubation for an additional 3 days prior to				
	determining final counts.				
Reviewer comments	Study was conducted as per protocol 777- PA9, protocol review				
(i.e., protocol deviations ar					
amendments, retesting,					
control failures, etc.)	Efficacy test dates = 5/21/22, 5/22/22 and 5/23/22.				
, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Three untreaded control tests were performed on the test				
	microbe to determine its rate of biological decay in the chamber				
	prior to efficacy testing.				
	prior to sineady todarig.				
	Note from reviewer: for bactericidal tests – under Section:				
	Efficacy Test with Test Substance, there is an indication of the				
	number of cans used per test dates. This information was not				
	provided for the virucidal studies.				
	provided for the viruoidal studies.				
	Protocol amendments and Deviations are presented on				
	Appendix D pages 44-56.				
	TAPPETIGIA D Pages 44-00.				

# IV. STUDY RESULTS

Bactericidal Efficacy – Air Sanitization								
MRID	Organism	Test Date						
			Bacterial Titer	Log	Total	Control	Control Estimated	Untreated Controls
			in Chamber	Reduction	sampling 	Test	Baseline	(Average of three
			after	at end of	time	Dates	Concentration	control tests) log <sub>10</sub> CFU/m <sup>3</sup> – From
			Nebulization	sampling	(minutes)		from Nebulizer	time 0 – Time 20 of
			(log <sub>10</sub> CFU/m <sup>3</sup> )				Fluid (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/m <sup>3)</sup>	sampling)
			Ci O/iii*)				Ci O/ili <sup>-7</sup>	sampling)
		Ready-t	o-use spray, spra	ayed into an a	erobiology ch	amber, 5% o	rganic soil, 4 min con	tact time
51990603				Batch –	e0199-069			4.33-4.43
		1/28/22	4.28	≥3.0	3.46	1/21/22	4.31	(Test dates:
		1/31/22	4.21			1/24/22	4.36	1/21/22, 1/24/22, 2/17/22)
		2/2/22	4.30			2/17/22	4.34	2/1//22)
			1	Batch -	e0032-170			
51990604	Staphylococcus	2/3/22	4.35	≥3.0	3.30	1/21/22	4.31	
	aureus ATCC 6538	2/4/22	4.30			1/28/22	4.36	
	A1CC 0338	2/7/22	4.34			2/17/22	4.34	
		Batch – e0199-070						
51990605		2/8/22	4.21	≥3.0	2.86	1/21/22	4.31	
		2/9/22	4.30			1/28/22	4.36	
		2/10/22	4.22			2/17/22	4.34	

	Bactericidal Efficacy – Air Sanitization									
MRID	Organism	Test Date		Efficac	y and Control	Results				
			Bacterial Titer	Log	Total	Control	Control Estimated	Untreated Controls		
			in Chamber	Reduction	sampling	Test	Baseline	(Average of three		
			after	at end of	time	Dates	Concentration	control tests) log <sub>10</sub>		
			Nebulization	sampling	(minutes)		from Nebulizer	CFU/m³ – From		
			(log <sub>10</sub> CFU/m <sup>3</sup> )					time 0 – Time 20 of		
			,					sampling)		

							Fluid (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/m <sup>3)</sup>	
		Ready-to	o-use spray, sp	rayed into an a	erobiology ch	amber, 5% org	ganic soil, 4 min coi	ntact time
51915103				Batch –	e0199-069			
	Klebsiella	3/3/22	5.82	≥3.0	1.17	2/28/22	5.85	4.05-4.44
	pneumoniae ATCC 4532	pneumoniae 3/7/22 5.60			3/2//22	5.92	(Test dates:	
	71100 4002	3/9/22	5.78			3/21/22	5.92	2/28/22, 3/2/22, 3/21/22)
				Batch –	e0032-170			3/21/22)
51915104		3/10/22	5.92	≥3.0	1.12	2/28/22	5.85	
		3/12/22	5.78			3/2//22	5.92	
		3/14/22 5	5.91	5.91		3/21/22	5.92	
		1		Batch –	e0199-069			
51915105		3/16/22	5.86	≥3.0	1.16	2/28/22	5.85	1
		3/17/22	5.86			3/2//22	5.92	1
		3/19/22	5.80			3/21/22	5.92	

			Virucio	dal Efficacy –	Air Treatmer	nt		
MRID	Organism	Test Date		Effica	cy and Contro	ol Results		
			Bacterial Titer in Chamber after Nebulization (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/m <sup>3</sup> )	Log Reduction at end of sampling	Total sampling time (minutes)	Control Test Dates	Control Estimated Baseline Concentration from Nebulizer Fluid (log <sub>10</sub> PFU/m <sup>3)</sup>	Untreated Controls (Average of three control tests) log <sub>10</sub> CFU/m <sup>3</sup> – From time 0 – Time 20 of sampling)
	Ready	/-to-use spray	, sprayed into an	aerobiology o	chamber, 5% s	soil load,12-n	ninute contact time	
51932801	Coliphage MS-2			Batch -	e0199-069			4.04-4.36
	(ATCC 15597-	5/2/22	5.32	≥3.0	11.3	4/5/22	5.58	(Test dates: 4/5/22,
	B1) as a	5/3/22	5.07			4/8/22	5.92	4/8/22, 5/25/22)
	surrogate	5/4/22	5.39			5/25/22	5.12	
51932802	1		1	Batch -	e0032-170	•	1	
		5/21/22	5.22	≥3.0	9.80	4/5/22	5.58	
		5/22/22	5.30			4/8/22	5.92	
		5/23/22	5.19			5/25/22	5.12	

# V. STUDY CONCLUSIONS

MRID	Claim	Application Method(s) and Dilution	Contact Time	Soil load	Diluent	Organism(s)	Data support tested conditions?
51990603 51990604 51990605		Ready-to-Use Aerosol Spray		5%*	N/A**	Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)	Yes
51915103 51915104 51915105	Air Sanitizer	Ready-to-Use Aerosol Spray		5%*	N/A**	Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 4532)	Yes
51932801 51932802	Air Treatment	Ready-to-Use Aerosol Spray		5%*	N/A**	Coliphage MS-2 (ATCC 15597-B1) as a surrogate	Yes

<sup>\*</sup>three-part soil containing: a mixture of bovine mucin, bovine serum albumin, and yeast extract

<sup>\*\*</sup>N/A=not applicable

### VI. LABEL COMMENTS

**Label Date/Identification Number:** 6/10/2021 (version 9)

 The proposed label claims that the product, Honey Cake, when used according to the Use Directions as a Ready-to-Use aerosol spray, is an effective <u>air sanitizer</u> against the following on room sizes defined as 10 ft x 10 ft x 8 ft or 800 sq. ft for a <u>4-minute</u> contact time:

Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538) Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 4532)

These claims are **acceptable** as they are supported by the submitted data.

2. The proposed label claims that the product, Honey Cake, when used according to the Use Directions as a Ready-to-Use aerosol spray, is an effective <u>air treatment</u> against the following on room sizes defined as 10 ft x 10 ft x 8 ft or 800 sq. ft for a <u>12-minute</u> contact time:

Coliphage MS-2 (ATCC 15597-B1) as a surrogate for enveloped and non-enveloped airborne viruses in the air.

These claims are **acceptable** as they are supported by the submitted data.

- 3. Make the following changes to the proposed label:
  - a. Throughout the label
    - i. Recommend removal of excess parenthesis for ease of review on the master label.
    - ii. Revise or remove language such as antibacterial and antiviral as these terms correspond to FDA uses rather than EPAs. Consider using virucidal or bactericidal instead.
    - iii. Remove references to "fight", "fights" or "fights the spread of" where related to bacteria or viruses as this may be misleading to end users regarding the activity of the product.
  - b. On page 2, remove language such as: "advanced technology, improved technology", etc., as this language may indicate heightened efficacy.
    - i. Remove language: "Freshness (Booster) (Enhancer)", as this may indicate heightened efficacy.
    - ii. Remove language: "Ordinary surface disinfectants or air fresheners can't kill airborne microbes(?)", this is a broad statement and comparative language that does not add to the product's intended to use and it can be confusing to the user.
  - c. On pages 2, 6, and 8, claims pertaining to "reducing the spread" of bacteria and viruses should specify "in the air" and "between treated spaces"
  - d. On page 5:

- i. Under General Air Sanitization / Viral Air Treatment Claims remove or qualify language "(eliminates)" from this statement: (3 in 1) (:) (eliminates)(removes)(neutralizes) odors (,) anti-bacterial\* (&) (and) anti-viral† (air treatment) as the term eliminates implies complete kill. This product is an air sanitizer and air treatment, and it does not kill all test microbes.
- ii. Similarly, qualify "Eliminates (bacteria\*), (,) (and) (&) (viruses†) (odors (\*) (1)) (in air)" as this implies complete kill.

## e. On page 6,

- i. remove or qualify "(all over your) (home)(house)" to specify "in the air"
- ii. remove parenthesis from "99.9% of" in "Molecules eliminate (99.9% of) (bacteria\*) (and / &) (viruses†) in the air"
- iii. qualify 'eliminator" in "Virus† (killer) (destroyer) (eliminator)" to specify 99.9%.

# f. On page 6 Under Use Directions:

- i. Add language in bold regarding room size to say: Room size defined as (10ft x 10ft x 8ft) (800 sq ft.). For use in 800 sq ft or smaller rooms only.
- ii. Add language in bold regarding surfaces to be treated: Rinse food contact surfaces with potable water after use. **Product not intended to treat surfaces (hard or soft).**
- iii. Revise language: Hold can upright and continuously spray for 30 seconds towards the center of room in a sweeping motion (back and forth) (left and right) to say: Hold can upright and continuously spray for 30 seconds towards the center <u>and ceiling</u> of room in a sweeping motion (back and forth) (left and right). Avoid as much dermal and inhalation exposure as possible by spraying away from face.
- iv. Add qualifier next to the word Bacteria on this statement: "To kill **Bacteria** and Viruses † in the Air".
- v. Recommend adding "Ensure the room remains unoccupied for the duration of the contact time."
- vi. Move language form Advisory Statement to Use Directions: "Not for use around food".

# g. On page 6, under Optional Advisory Statements:

- i. Revise language: For use 5 times a day" to say For use up to 5 times a day per user".
- ii. The Note to Reviewer for "Do not use more than 1 can a day" should be associated with a maximum packaging size/volume.
- h. On page 8, graphics indicating "molecules eliminate bacteria/virus" should be revised to specify 99.9%. In addition, bacteria, and virus in each should be qualified to link to the tested organisms.
- i. On page 9, "surrogate for rhinovirus, influenza virus, SARS-CoV-2 etc." should be revised to "surrogate for enveloped airborne viruses".

4. The following information should be included with the use directions on the proposed label to provide the proper context for the product's performance:

"This product is not to be relied upon as the sole air treatment but as a supplement to be used in conjunction with current public health guidelines regarding filter ratings, HVAC system cleaning/maintenance, and the recommended number of air changes/per hour. This product has no residual efficacy at the conclusion of the contact time when the room is reoccupied, vents are returned to operation, and/or windows are opened.

### Notes for RM/PM:

- In general, verify alternate brand names are appropriate.
- On page 4, ensure language about bleach, dyes, bleach free and phosphates are acceptable. In the case of bleach or bleach free language verify the language is appropriately linked to fabrics or garments.
- On page 4, ensure language such as: "No (harsh) (chemical) (smell) (and / or) (residues)(fumes)", as this can be misleading and comparative language in relation to other types of chemicals is acceptable.
- On page 6, suggest removing parenthesis from language: (To Unlock Cap: Turn counterclockwise (1) (2) (clicks). Lock cap, after use.). Directions for use should not have optional language and should be clear to the user. Seems confusing to say 1 or 2 clicks, is the product unlocked with 1 or 2 counterclockwise clicks?
- On page 6, suggest clarification on how the user will avoid spraying in eyes, on skin or
  on clothing if they are in the room spraying the product. Language under Use Directions
  saying to spray in an upright position away from body may be necessary.
- On page 10, ensure language regarding (harsh acids) and chlorine bleach is acceptable.
- Verify that language on pages 4, and 6: "(Active) molecules kill (the)bacteria...", "The
  active molecules attach to the airborne bacteria (and / &) (viruses)", "Proprietary formula
  with active molecules", and "Proprietary formula with active molecules proven effective..."
  is allowed and not associated with nano particles.